# **Supplementary file 1**

Summary of materials, methods, analyzed and tabulated data of red light absorbancetransmittance measure through maize leaf for discovery of photosynthesis models

Nav Raj Adhikari<sup>1\*</sup>, Surya K. Ghimire <sup>2</sup>, Shrawan Kumar Sah<sup>3</sup> and Keshab Babu Koirala<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Breeding, Institute of Agriculture and Animal Science, Tribhuvan University, Chitwan, Nepal.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture Agriculture and Forestry University, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Agriculture and Forestry University, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal

<sup>4</sup>Planning Division, Nepal Agriculture Research Council (NARC), Singhdarawar Plaza, Kathmandu, Nepal.

\*Corresponding author: <a href="mailto:navraj.adhikari@gmail.com">navraj.adhikari@gmail.com</a>

### For citation:

**Adhikari NR,. Ghimire SK, Sah SK and Koirala KB. 2015.** Summary of materials, methods, analyzed and tabulated data of red light absorbance-transmittance measure through maize leaf for discovery of photosynthesis models. *PeerJ Preprint* 

#### Table of contents

- Sup Table 1: Details of research site of the trials
- Sup Table 2: Details of planting and management of the hybrid maize of the trials grown in winter in Nepal in the year of 2012AUT-2013 SPR.
- Sup Table 3: Intercultural management of the plants of the trials
- Sup Table 4: General morphological, physiological and yield traits of the pipeline hybrids
- Sup Table 5: Frequency distribution of e1 leaf sectors of varying SPAD measure, chlorophyll and nitrogen content.
- Sup Table 6: Variance analysis of the frequencies of the leaf sectors under different SPAD ranges.
- Sup Table 7: Variance analysis of the SPAD measure of e1 leaf of pipeline hybrids as factor A and type of averages as factor B.

## Sup Table 1: Details of research site of the trial A1

Trial name: Trial of single cross hybrid maize

Research site: Research field of NMRP/ NARC, Rampur Chitwan Nepal

Longitude and latitude: 27°37'N, 84°24' E

Site altitude: 228 meter asl (above sea level)

Soil texture: Sandy loam

Top soil pH: 5 to 6

Sup Table 2: Details of planting and management of the hybrid maize of the trial A1grown in winter in Nepal in the year of 2012AUT-2013 SPR.

Design of experiment: Randomized complete block design (RCBD)

Number of hybrids: 5 (Fifteen); Replications: 3

Planting date: October 03, 2012; Green manure crop: Sun hemp in the summer of 2012.

Organic manure rate: 33 t ha<sup>-1</sup>

Date of organic manure application: Before the start of first land plowing

Tilth: Clod free fine tilth field

Row direction: North-South

Net plot size: 3 meter x 1.4 meter (L x B) =  $4.2 \text{ m}^2$ 

Spacing: 3 meter long rows separated by 0.70 m distance

Seed drop distance or hole to hole distance: 0.25 meter

Number of seeds dropped into a hole: 2 seeds

Fertilizer dose: 120: 60: 40 kg N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>

Basal fertilizer dose: 60: 60: 40 kg N,  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  /ha through DAP and M/P

Split N-fertilizer dose and date: 30 kg N on 45 DAS, 30 kg N on 60<sup>th</sup> DAS. It is through urea

Sup Table 3: Intercultural management of the plants of the trial

Soil loosening and manual weed removal: 30 days after plantation

Plant population: 57143 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>, 24 plants /plot of 4.2 m<sup>2</sup> maintained on 30<sup>th</sup> day

Date of earthing up: 45 days after sowing (DAS)

Irrigation date: First-50 DAS. Second-70 DAS, Third-90, Forth- 110 DAS

Irrigation method: Furrow irrigation through shallow tube well of 4" pipe

Harvest date: September 20, 2012; Crop stand duration: 110 days

Sup Table 4: General morphological, physiological and yield traits of the pipeline hybrids

†Hybrid	<sup>1</sup> Yield	<sup>2</sup> Culm	Len	<sup>3</sup> Anth	50	<sup>4</sup> Silk5	50	<sup>5</sup> Pop So	en	<sup>6</sup> TAI	<sup>7</sup> SAI	<sup>8</sup> SPAD35
Entry	(t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	(cm)		(days)	)	(days	)	(days)		(days)	(days)	(%)
6	10.61 A	190.3	A	74.3	С	78.3	BC	178.3	В	4.7	4.0	6.9
112	9.87 A	158.7	В	78.3	В	79.3	В	181.7	A	0.7	1.0	4.0
14	9.28 A	164.8	В	82.0	A	83.0	A	176.3	В	2.3	1.0	7.5
113	8.56 A	161.2	В	84.3	A	84.3	A	181.3	A	2.7	0.0	6.5
109	7.22 A	197.2	A	71.3	D	76.7	C	175.7	В	4.3	5.3	9.1

<sup>†</sup>Hybrids and their entries are RL-111/RL-189 (6), RML-32/RML-17 (112), RML-4/NML-2 (14), RML-4/RML-17 (113) and RC/RML-8 (109). <sup>1</sup>Grain yield, <sup>2</sup>Culm length; <sup>3</sup>days for anthesis of 50% population; <sup>4</sup>days for silk emergence of the 50% population; <sup>5</sup>days for senescence of the all plants in the plot of each hybrid; <sup>6</sup>tassel emergence-anthesis interval in days; <sup>7</sup>anthesis-silking interval in days; <sup>8</sup>percent of the frequencies of the e1 leaf sectors of SPAD below 35.

### Source:

Adhikari NR, Ghimire SK, Sah SK, Koirala KB. (2015) Frequency distribution and mean comparisons of red light absorbance-transmittance of the e1 leaf sectors of five pipeline maize hybrids during early grain filling in subtropical winter. PeerJ PrePrints 3:e1836 https://dx.doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.1468v2

Sup Table 5: Frequency distribution of e1 leaf sectors of varying SPAD measure, chlorophyll and nitrogen content.

<sup>1</sup> Hybrid	Mean	Trimmed	Standard	Coefficient	Min	Max	Skewness	Kurtosis
entry		Mean	deviation	of variation				
	SPAD 1	measure						
6	46.6	47.2	8.68	18.61	5	71.3	-1.38	4.09
112	47.0	47.2	6.46	13.75	5.9	62.7	-0.82	2.34
14	48.4	49.3	9.07	18.71	4.5	67.6	-1.62	3.69
113	47.0	47.7	8.82	18.76	2.2	68.8	-1.43	4.07
109	45.7	46.2	9.15	20.04	3.2	69	-1.06	2.62

#### Source:

Adhikari NR, Ghimire SK, Sah SK, Koirala KB. (2015) Frequency distribution and mean comparisons of red light absorbance-transmittance of the e1 leaf sectors of five pipeline maize hybrids during early grain filling in subtropical winter. PeerJ PrePrints 3:e1836 https://dx.doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.1468v2

	Chlorophyll content (μg cm <sup>-2</sup> )								
6	48.49	48.86	12.18	25.12	3.56	97.09	-0.46	1.46	
112	48.55	48.63	9.50	19.56	4.23	76.35	-0.23	0.66	
14	51.39	52.03	12.80	24.91	3.19	87.60	-0.83	1.56	
113	49.14	49.52	12.41	25.26	1.54	90.57	-0.52	1.38	
109	47.17	47.42	12.85	27.23	2.25	91.08	-0.26	0.64	
	Nitroge	n content %	dry weigh	t					
6	Nitroge 2.03	en content % 2.04	dry weigh 0.37	t 18.03	0.76	3.38	-0.45	1.11	
6 112	· ·		•		0.76 0.77	3.38 2.84	-0.45 -0.26	1.11 0.50	
	2.03	2.04	0.37	18.03					
112	2.03 2.03	2.04 2.03	0.37 0.29	18.03 14.24	0.77	2.84	-0.26	0.50	
112 14	2.03 2.03 2.11	<ul><li>2.04</li><li>2.03</li><li>2.14</li></ul>	0.37 0.29 0.38	18.03 14.24 18.16	0.77 0.76	2.84 3.14	-0.26 -0.86	0.50 1.43	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The mean values were computed from 1500 observations for each hybrid. Hybrids and their entries are RL-111/RL-189 (6), RML-32/RML-17 (112), RML-4/NML-2 (14), RML-4/RML-17 (113) and RC/RML-8 (109).

Sup Table 6: Variance analysis of the frequencies of the leaf sectors under different SPAD ranges.

SOV	DF	Sum of	Mean	Variance	F
		Squares	Square	ratio	probability
REPLICATIONS	2	0	0	0	
HYBRIDS	4	0	0	0	1
SPAD CLASSES	3	473915	157972	46.07	<.001
HYBRIDS x CLASSES	12	26450	2204	0.64	0.792
RESIDUAL	38	130297	3429		

SPAD classes included in the variance analysis from which plot frequencies of the leaf sectors used in the ANOVA are 0-30, 30-40, 40-50 and above 50.

Sup Table 7: Variance analysis of the SPAD measure of e1 leaf of pipeline hybrids as factor A and type of averages as factor B.

SOV	DF	Sum of Square	Mean Square	F VALUE	PROBABILITY
REPLICATIONS	2	761.5	380.75	28.76	
HYBRIDS (A)	4	221.56	55.39**	4.18	0.003
AVERAGES (B)	23	957.83	41.64**	3.15	<.001
A x B	92	1138.39	12.37 NS	0.93	0.641
RESIDUAL	238	3150.43	13.24		

For variance analysis; each measure of the five plants have been averaged to compute plot value. Then Variance analysis table has been constructed defining hybrids as factor A (5) and averages as factor B (24).